

Distance Sensor Having A Compensation Device For A Misalignment  
Angle In A Vehicle.

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to a distance sensor for a vehicle having a sensor for transmitting microwaves or light, or for receiving an echo signal reflected by a target object.

Background Information

In the case of speed regulators having a distance sensor (Adaptive Cruise Control, ACC) adapting travel speed of a motor vehicle to slower vehicles traveling ahead, when these are detected by the distance sensor, is already known. However, the distance sensor has a limited detection range, and thus can only detect such vehicles as are located in the prospective course range of the following vehicle. A misalignment of this detection range, which can occur either during installation on the vehicle or during operation, has the effect, however, that the longitudinal axis of the distance sensor relatively to the nominal alignment (center line of the vehicle) has a systematic angle of deviation. This can have the result that this misalignment, for example, leads to a faulty lane assignment of a detected radar object on the path of motion of the motor vehicle (trajectory), that is, to a vehicle being followed or coming in the opposite direction, and that thereby an undesired reaction of the speed regulator can take place.

A compensating device is known, for example, from German Published Patent Application No. 197 46 524, for compensating for the installation tolerances of a distance sensor in a vehicle, in which the installation tolerances of a distance sensor are compensated. Using an electronic evaluation device, current object distances and a current object angle are measured during travel, for detected objects relatively to the vehicle axis. In this connection, the

2L244504170

misalignment angle to the current target object is determined by forming an average value of many measurements. It is true, though, that this average value formation functions satisfactorily only if the vehicle can follow the target object, a second vehicle traveling ahead, on a sufficiently long straight path, so that frequent measurements to the target object can be carried out. In the case of curves in the road or, also, uphill and down dale travel with changing angle of altitude, this method fails.

On the other hand, in the case of the device for calculating and correcting a misalignment angle for a distance sensor according to European Published Patent Application No. 0 782 008 the angle of deviation from the center line is described, using a regression method. To do this, the angle is measured in each measurement cycle as a function of the distance from moving, or better still, from fixed radar objects. In particular in dense traffic, however, there are not enough suitable objects within sight range of the sensor, so that not enough measured values are available. Thus, each method has the disadvantage that the availability of measured values depends on the travel situation or the traffic situation, as the case may be.

### Summary Of The Invention

By comparison, the distance sensor or the speed regulator according to the present invention has the advantage that the reliability of the misalignment identification increases by the combination of a plurality of methods for determining a misalignment angle.

A particular advantage as compared to the known related art is considered also to be that the measurements for the misalignment angle can be carried out, not only on a straight travel path, but also along a curve. More measured values result from this, which, in particular, also favor the formation of the average value.

It is especially advantageous that a yaw rate sensor is provided as a further instrument, whose signals can be used for correcting trajectory curves. Since the yaw rate sensor detects the rotary motion of the vehicle about the vertical axis, it thereby also detects, in consideration of the driving speed, the bend in the travel path, or the curve, so that, from these data, appropriate angle calculations with respect to a vehicle driving ahead, which has been detected by the sensor, can be carried out. The bend of a trajectory, in this connection, is

looked upon as being the reciprocal value of the radius of the path, (in English: the curvature).

With the aid of adaptive long-term filtering, quality indicators of the trajectory are ascertained from the ascertained misalignment angles of individual trajectories. With the aid of the quality indicators of the trajectory, reliability of the angle measurement is advantageously improved. In this case, for example, the determination of the quality indicators is made from the correlation value of a regression analysis of the curvature, the number of measured values, the length of the trajectory and/or the speed of the object. Since these parameters are relatively simple to measure, this also makes possible a simple calculation of the quality indicator.

For the application of adaptive long-term filtering of the ascertained misalignment angle from individual trajectories, for example, a noise-optimized, linear, adaptive filter (e.g. a Kalman filter) is suitable, or a nonlinear filter in which the weighting of the individual measured values from the quality valuation is based on the quality indicators of the trajectory.

A nonlinear filter can also be used as a suitable adaptive long-term filter, in which the weighting of the individual measured values is done from the quality appraisal.

It is regarded as a special advantage that, with a positioning of the sensor outside the center line of the motor vehicle, the control system ascertains the misalignment angle with respect to the center line of the motor vehicle. That also causes the lateral angle arising from the center displacement of the sensor to be compensated for.

It is also favorable that the ascertainment of the misalignment angle is weighted either as a function of the weighted average values of the yaw rate sensor or of the displacement from the center line. This yields an improvement in the signal quality, which improves the robustness of the method for determining the misalignment angle, depending on the availability of the individual systems, since almost always at least one of the two methods receives suitable input data. Thereby one method advantageously compensates for the weaknesses of the other method.

By weighting the averaged mean values of the two individual methods, one obtains an improved signal quality.

### Brief Description Of The Drawings

5

Figure 1 shows a first diagram with angle representations of ray paths.

Figure 2 shows a block diagram of a distance sensor.

Figure 3 shows a second diagram.

10

### Detailed Description

15

Figure 1 shows two motor vehicles 5, 6 which are traveling along on a road one behind the other at a distance  $d$ , the assumption being that motor vehicle 5 is behind motor vehicle 6. Furthermore, for reasons of clarity, only the middle rays of a sensor 2 are illustrated, not the entire radiation in the radiation range. It is also assumed in the exemplary embodiment that, in a first embodiment variant, a sensor 2 is mounted centrically on the front face of motor vehicle 5. It is aligned in such a way that its middle ray detects the rear end of motor vehicle 6 driving ahead. On account of an assumed misalignment, the transmitted ray does not hit the rear end along the center line of motor vehicle 5, but rather displaced by an average angle  $\alpha_{\text{sensor}}$ . For the sake of completeness, let it be mentioned that this reflected ray is received by a corresponding receiving device of sensor 2 and evaluated. Such an evaluation method is known, for example, from German Published Patent Application No. 197 46 524. In the exemplary embodiment according to the present invention, the sensor is not positioned centrically but laterally displaced by a distance  $y_{\text{radar}}$ . With this sensor 2, now, the central ray  $b$  hits the projected center line of motor vehicle 5 at the rear end of target object 6 at an angle  $\alpha_{\text{vehicle}}$ . From this positioning displaced from the center by the distance  $y_{\text{radar}}$ , and the distance  $d$  to vehicle 6 driving ahead, an angle can now be determined according to the equation

20

25

30

$$\alpha_{\text{vehicle}} = \alpha_{\text{sensor}} + y_{\text{radar}}/d.$$

This angle  $\alpha_{\text{vehicle}}$  is ascertained by repeated measurements during the trip and suitable long-term filtering. Using this systematic deviation, the angle measurement of sensor 2 can be

corrected.

Carried out principally on a straight stretch of road, this method of compensating the misalignment angle by averaging the current target object angle over a plurality of measurements is less applicable in curves of the road, since there, the target "vehicle 6, driving ahead" is constantly changing the relative vehicle position with respect to sensor 2, because of the radius of curvature. To compensate for the error caused by the radius of curvature of the road, a yaw rate sensor 3 is provided on motor vehicle 5 to detect the change of direction of motor vehicle 5 about its vertical axis. Using the normalized signal from yaw rate sensor 3 and the angle  $\alpha_{\text{vehicle}}$ , the result is an average deviation of the curvature-corrected angle according to the formula,

$$d_{\alpha_{\text{object}}} = \text{average value}(\alpha_{\text{vehicle}} - d^2 \star \text{yaw rate} / (2 \star \text{vehicle speed})),$$

where  $d_{\alpha_{\text{object}}}$  corresponds to the corrected angle and the yaw rate corresponds to the signal of yaw rate sensor 3.

The averaging is to be carried out by a long-term low-pass filter. Furthermore, depending on the frequency of the averaging, for the magnitude  $d_{\alpha_{\text{object}}}$  a quality value  $q_{\text{object}}$  can be given for the reliability of the angle. Since a curve 11 in a road can be set into a plurality of trajectories, there is a further possibility, when determining the misalignment angle, of applying a regression method known per se, as described, for instance, in European Published Patent Application No. 0 782 008. According to the present invention, as shown in Figure 3, in dependence on the distance to a moving, or better still, standing target object 10, as, for example, a crash barrier part or a post, angles  $w_1$  or  $w_2$  respectively, depending on position A or B of vehicle 5, are measured in curve 11. From the distance  $d(t_i)$  or  $d(t_j)$  at point in time  $t_i$  (position A) or  $t_j$  (position B) of vehicle 5, for instance, to standing target 10, a lateral displacement  $y(t_i)$  or  $y(t_j)$  can be calculated by simple trigonometrical conversion. In contrast to the known method, this advantageously yields additional measuring points within sensor 2, for travel in a curve. It is therefore proposed by the present invention also to combine this method of regression analysis of trajectories with yaw rate signal 3, in order to compensate for the trajectory curvatures. The measured values thus obtained are averaged by adaptive

long-term filtering of the ascertained misalignment angles of single trajectories. A noise-optimized, linear adaptive filter, for example a Kalman filter, can be used as the suitable filter. Alternatively, a nonlinear filter can also be used, in which the weighting of the individual measured value is founded on the quality assessment, which is based on quality indicators of the trajectory. The quality indicators are formed, for instance, from the correlation value of the regression analysis, from the curvature, number of measured points, trajectory length and object speed.

As a result, a sliding long-term filtered misalignment angle  $d_{\alpha\_traj}$  or a quality value  $q\_traj$  is ascertained for the reliability of the angle, or rather is updated with each analyzed trajectory.

Since this second method also does not always yield suitable trajectories, particularly in heavy columnar traffic, in an alternative solution both approaches are advantageously linked to each other. Depending on the reliability of the individual methods, the weightings of the approaches can be varied statically or dynamically. The linking of the two approaches takes place via a weighted average value of both individual methods. The weights are determined from the quality numbers:

$$d_{\alpha} = G1(q\_traj) \star d_{\alpha\_traj} + G2(q\_obj) \star d_{\alpha\_obj}$$

where  $G1(q\_traj)$  and  $G2(q\_obj)$  are the weights from the quality numbers. In this respect,  $Q\_traj$  and  $q\_obj$  form the quality numbers  $Q_i$ .

By the introduction of quality numbers  $Q_i$  for each method, with a decrease in  $Q_i$  in case no input variable is available for the method in the current cycle, and an increase in case input variables are available, a simple possibility of selection was found as to which of the two methods is delivering, at the moment, the more reliable statement concerning the current angle measurement. Besides the improvement of the signal quality by the combination, the robustness of the methods increases also, since almost always at least one of the two methods contains suitable input data, that means, that one method compensates for the weaknesses of the other method.

Figure 2 shows a block diagram of distance sensor 10, in which control system 1 is connected

to sensor 2 and yaw rate sensor 3. There is also provided a compensation device for angle measurement 4, in which, among other things, the calculation of the angles, among other things, is carried out. The above-named calculations are preferably made with a program that is run by the microcomputer of control system 1.

5

09869507.110201